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Navigare necesse est
(Pompey, 51 BC)
**Lead: Early stages in the recognition of programming effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Report</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1943</td>
<td>Byers and Lord report lasting brain damage in lead-poisoned children</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1965</td>
<td>Patterson reports that current lead exposures are 100-fold above ‘natural’ levels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1979</td>
<td>Needleman and colleagues report dose-related mental deficits in children with previous lead exposure at ‘background’ levels</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The only form of lead poisoning that is lethal - according to the automobile and petroleum industries (Denmark, about 1975)
The results obtained in this study do not suggest that the lead exposures caused any severe intellectual reduction, although in this “normal” range, the high-lead children showed lower functioning, as compared to the low-lead group. Thus, even in a minimally-polluted area, some children appear to be at risk for neuropsychological deficits due to long-term lead exposure.”

In hindsight, we underestimated developmental lead neurotoxicity - quotation from Danish study:

“The results obtained in this study do not suggest that the lead exposures caused any severe intellectual reduction, although in this “normal” range, the high-lead children showed lower functioning, as compared to the low-lead group. Thus, even in a minimally-polluted area, some children appear to be at risk for neuropsychological deficits due to long-term lead exposure.”
Decreases in lead exposure limits show how slow reaction to science endangered a whole generation of children.
**Methylmercury**: Early stages in the recognition of programming effects

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1952</td>
<td>Developmental neurotoxicity in two Swedish infants</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1963/68</td>
<td>Formal recognition of the Minamata disease causation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1972</td>
<td>Rodent experiments show delayed developmental neurotoxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1986</td>
<td>Adverse effects observed in children from methylmercury in maternal fish intake during pregnancy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The message on developmental methylmercury neurotoxicity was challenged

- Science first rejected our manuscript (it was later published in Neurotoxicol Teratol 1997; 19: 417-28)
- Science instead offered to present our unpublished results in their News section (which we refused)

Science 12 December 1997:
Vol. 278. no. 5345, pp. 1904 - 1905
DOI: 10.1126/science.278.5345.1904
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Balancing Fish Consumption Benefits with Mercury Exposure

Grace M. Egeland and John P. Middaugh

Prolonged III-V interval on brainstem auditory evoked potentials at recent exposure (NB: scale) in 14-yr-old children

Murata et al., Journal of Pediatrics, February 2004
Thresholds decline due to better science

Updated from: In Harm’s Way, 2002
**Other risk factors: Early stages in the recognition of programming effects**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Event</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1968</td>
<td>Fetal alcohol syndrome described in case series</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1971</td>
<td>Clear-cell carcinoma discovered in girls whose mothers used diethylstilbestrol in pregnancy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1973</td>
<td>Permanent damage in survivors of infancy arsenic poisoning from milk powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1977</td>
<td>Forsdahl reports that infant mortality in a birth cohort is linked to adult mortality</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985</td>
<td>The Jacobsons report cognitive deficits in children exposed to PCB from Great Lakes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987</td>
<td>Skakkebæk reports carcinoma in situ in fetal testicular gonocytes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Toxic effects are determined by:

1. the toxicant properties
2. the dose
3. the timing in regard to windows of vulnerability
WHO-EURO initiative to conduct 'Integrated monitoring of exposure to selected chemicals and their health effects' (1982)

- Lead
- Mercury
- Pesticides
- Others…
Challenges in assessing clinical manifestations of developmental toxicity

• Non-specific effects are sensitive to confounders
• Effects may depend on the exact time of exposure
• Effects may not be immediately apparent, because the organ system must mature to express relevant functions
• Influence of compensation / reversibility, reserve capacity, and unmasking
Challenges from multifactorial causation

Each individual risk factor may not induce any serious adverse effect, but a combination of risk factors may. Because of associations between risk factors, and imprecision in the assessment of each exposure, the effects of individual hazards will be underestimated.
Challenges from inherent biases toward the null hypothesis*

- Low statistical power
- Overzealous use of 5% probability level
- Use of 20% probability level to minimize risk of type II error
- Imprecise exposure data
- No adjustment for negative confounding
- Short and incomplete follow-up
- ...

*From a list developed with David Gee (EEA) and Collegium Ramazzini colleagues
Challenges from the desire for less uncertainty and more research

- “The foetus may be more susceptible to methylmercury toxicity than the adult…” (JECFA, 1978)
- “Significant uncertainties remain because of issues related to exposure, neurobehavioral endpoints, confounders and statistics, and design…” (NIEHS / White House workshop, 1998)
Challenges from the desire for replication in science – thereby creating inertia

- The majority of published papers in environmental health journals deals with a limited, rather stable list of pollutants
- PubMed lists over 15,000 scientific publications on lead
- Other toxicants are very poorly studied in comparison
Emerging paradigm: Time course of recognition (of developmental neurotoxicants)

- Neurotoxicant dose (inverted scale)
- Number of subjects affected
- Time of recognition

Silent pandemic

- Pb
- MeHg
- PCB
- OP pesticides

Subclinical effects in child populations
- Neurotoxicity in adults

Other toxicants

Poisoning incidents

Grandjean & Landrigan, The Lancet, 2006
Number of environmental toxicants

- Chemical universe, $N \sim 100,000$
- Neurotoxic in lab tests, $N > 1,000$
- Neurotoxic to humans, $N > 200$
- Known neurotoxic to humans during development, $N = 5$
Late lessons on the developmental origin of human health and disease

• Significance of early case reports was overlooked
• Human health implications of experimental evidence were only slowly appreciated
• Conclusions emphasised the $p$ value, while the upper confidence limit was ignored
• Absent or uncertain evidence was thought to support the null hypothesis
• Environmental risks considered one by one
In interpreting research results, we must recognise that a phenomenon may exist, even if we cannot see it:

What could be known, given our study opportunities and methodologies?

René Magritte
Science-policy interface for developmental toxicity

Time / Degree of scientific certainty

Extant of community response

- Risk assessment
- Evidence-based action
- Precautionary action to protect children and women
- Precautionary monitoring of developmental exposures
- Focused research
- Initial research
- Stakeholder involvement
The 25-year delayed message:

• Expand research to understand better the developmental origin of human health, organ function, and disease

• Include developmental exposure in standard testing of chemicals

• Emphasise life-time exposures in epidemiological studies

• Aim at protecting the most vulnerable human populations